

Review of: "Rules Extraction, Diagnoses and Prognosis of Diabetes and its Comorbidities using Deep Learning Analytics with Semantics on Big Data"

Nasmin Jiwani¹

1 University of the Cumberlands

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Here are some key aspects to consider:

- 1. Title and Abstract: Check whether the title accurately reflects the content of the paper and if the abstract provides a clear and concise summary of the research.
- 2. Introduction: Evaluate the introduction to see if it provides sufficient background information, outlines the research problem, and clearly states the research objectives.
- 3. Methodology: Examine the methodology section to ensure that the research design, data collection, and analysis methods are appropriate and well-described.
- 4. Results: Verify that the results are presented logically and comprehensively, with appropriate data visualizations to support the findings.
- 5. Discussion: Assess the discussion section to see if the results are interpreted correctly, and if the implications and limitations of the study are adequately addressed.
- 6. Conclusion: Check the conclusion to ensure that it aligns with the research objectives and provides a concise summary of the key findings.
- 7. Language and Clarity: Evaluate the overall clarity of the writing, making sure that the manuscript is well-organized and free of grammatical errors or confusing language.
- 8. Ethical Considerations: Check for any ethical concerns related to data collection, participant consent, or conflicts of interest.
- 9. References: Verify that all cited references are accurate, relevant, and properly formatted according to the journal's guidelines.
- 10. Novelty and Contribution: Consider whether the research makes a significant contribution to the field and if the findings are novel and original.



11. Reproducibility: Assess whether there is enough detail provided in the methodology section to allow other researchers to reproduce the study.

Remember that the peer review process is collaborative and aims to improve the quality and validity of the research.

Provide constructive feedback and suggestions for improvement, and be respectful in your comments.