

Review of: "Choosing to Stay: A New Perspective on Immobility Amidst Adversity"

Choirul Amin¹

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the article presents a compelling argument regarding the inadequacy of current migration studies in addressing the staying behavior of individuals in conflict or disaster-stricken regions. The introduction of the 'Resilience-Accessibility Framework' as an analytical tool to explore staying behavior is a valuable contribution to the field. The article effectively highlights the importance of understanding voluntary immobility and expands the scope of mobility categories to provide a more comprehensive understanding of migratory experiences.

Here are some specific points of feedback:

- The article is clear in its purpose and introduces the Resilience-Accessibility Framework effectively. However, it could benefit from a clearer structure that outlines the framework's components and how they relate to one another. Providing a roadmap at the beginning of the article could help readers navigate the content more easily.
- While the article mentions that the framework is derived from existing frameworks and a qualitative study in a conflict-affected region, more details about the methodology and findings of the qualitative study would strengthen the article's credibility. Including examples or case studies that illustrate the application of the framework in real-world contexts would also enhance the article's impact.
- It would be helpful to provide more context on the existing frameworks from which the Resilience-Accessibility Framework is derived. Explaining how the new framework builds upon or differs from existing theories would add depth to the discussion and help situate the framework within the broader academic literature on migration studies.
- The article briefly mentions that the framework offers insights for policymaking, but it could expand on this aspect by discussing specific policy recommendations or implications derived from the framework's findings. How can policymakers use the insights gained from studying staying behavior to develop more effective interventions in conflict or disaster-affected regions?