

Review of: "Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity and α -Amylase Inhibitory Potential of Melilotus indicus Ethanolic Extract: An In Vitro and In Silico Study"

Naila Sher

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewer comments to author

The manuscript entitled "Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity and α -Amylase Inhibitory Potential of Melilotus indicus Ethanolic Extract: An In Vitro and In Silico Study. is written based on experimental findings, but some justifications for the corresponding work are missing. The following are the suggestions and corrections for the authors to be incorporated in the manuscript.

1. However, I did not find any experiments to support the authors to draw this conclusion. I think the authors should show us even more relevant results, otherwise they just elucidate the results based on
2. In addition, in the abstract, I do not see that the purpose of the manuscript is "OBJECTIVES: I think the abstract needs to be reconstructed.
3. Although the authors have done a lot of work to show the importance of Melilotus indicus, however, I still do not know the components of this plant.
4. The novelty of the work should be clearly explained in the manuscript.
5. The novelty of the work should be clearly explained in the manuscript.
6. Use the equation panel to type the equations.
Justify the reason by comparing it with a standard.
The conclusion can be explained well with experimental results.
7. The discussion part must be properly justified with references.
8. The conclusion appears as a more generalized statement. It should be rewritten well with experimental results.
9. Follow the same format for journal style in the references section.
10. In general, many typographical errors are there in the entire manuscript.
Grammar and spellings should be checked in the entire manuscript.