

Review of: "The Failure of Diplomatic Mediations in the Syrian Conflict – A Comparative Analysis"

Tarik Basbugoglu¹

¹ Glasgow Caledonian University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments on article The Failure of Diplomatic Mediations in the Syrian Conflict – A Comparative Analysis

The author responds effectively on the justification of the conceptual and the theoretical background used in the study.

The article is in a well-structured. The theoretical background is sufficient and relates to the following analysis in the study. The study is inclusive of details while the findings are consistent and well-justified.

However, she needs to be careful on her writing structure. The article needs proofreading before the submission.

Moreover, it would be better to mention her research questions in the introduction section.

On page 3, the author talks about both the regional and global powers which intervened into the Syrian Conflict. However, she did not give information about them.

Thus, it would be better to write 1 or 2 sentences about each prominent actor in the Syrian War.

The article needs to have a methodology section. It is not clear that does she have a methodological approach.

In the conclusion section, the author talks about the psychological factors that obstructed a possible peaceful power transition in Syria. However, she needs to talk more about what are these psychological factors? Why both sides do not trust each other? It needs more explanation.

Summarizing, I believe this article could be accepted for publication if the author does required revisions.

Best regards,

Dr Tarik Basbugoglu

Glasgow Caledonian University