

# Review of: "CPTED for a Safe Basti: A Case of Nardan Camp"

Biraj Kanti Mondal<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Netaji Subhas Open University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

**Manuscript Number:** Qeios ID: 2HQ5YN.2 · <https://doi.org/10.32388/2HQ5YN.2>

## Title: CPTED for a Safe Basti: A Case of Nardan Camp

### *Comments and Suggestions for the Authors:*

Overall, the structure of this paper is well organized, scientific and the presentation is clear. However, there are still some crucial issues that need to be carefully addressed before a possible publication. More specifically,

1. A deep literature review is needed, may be this kind of application is new in the field. Still there is a scope to improve the section mentioning the related works or previous technological application. Therefore, the reviewer strongly suggests discussing and analyzing some latest works by citing them.
2. The introductory section should be improve with some recent improvement regardig sustainable use of other ways or methods for better planning. The novelty of the work must be clear.
3. The methodology section must be improved and can be represented in a figure in flow pattern for well-acceptance and for easily understand.
4. The authors are suggested to employ some GIS based maps of the study area with proper scale and legend, its backgrounds or some relevant issues in the framework of GIS to ensure the work worthy and more understandable to the readers.
5. The authors should mention the SWOC about the CPTED (Strength, Weakness, Opportunities, Challenges), may be in brief tabulated form.
6. Do the authors consider any experts' opinion for the selection of this method? It must be cleared to the readers and planner that how they can follow the same methods in different mega city areas from other countries and framing any policies regarding that.
7. Please give more details and discussion about the key issues regarding the employment of these methods in the light of older or used methods, which is largely different. How modern technologies can be employed in this regard for resilient planning and management.
8. Authors are advised to provide a blue print of the structure they have studied through a chart or map and FGD.

9. Some future directions should be pointed out. Some genuine recommendations according to the study are needed.
10. Authors should strengthen the conclusion section and mention some policy suggestions.
11. The whole manuscript needs few grammatical check and corrections.

.

-----