

# Review of: "[Mini-review] Impact on Oral Health of Smoking Cigarettes, Cigars or Hookahs"

Nada Adli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hamad Medical Corporation

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor,

I have reviewed the mini-review titled "Impact on Oral Health of Smoking Cigarettes, Cigars, or Hookahs."

Regrettably, the article falls short of meeting the standards expected for publication.

Firstly, the article is brief, requiring more depth and breadth for a comprehensive review. It fails to provide scientific data regarding the effects of smoking on oral health, which is essential for substantiating its claims. The absence of such empirical evidence renders the article akin to a layperson's discourse rather than a scholarly contribution.

Moreover, the absence of citations throughout the text is concerning. A scientifically rigorous article should be grounded in existing literature and supported by relevant citations to substantiate its claims and assertions. This critical oversight further detracts from the credibility and scholarly rigor of the manuscript.

Furthermore, discrepancies arise between the content promised in the abstract and the actual substance of the article.

Specifically, the article's conclusion mentions the importance of healthy habits, dental follow-ups, and prevention strategies in mitigating smoking-related oral health issues. However, these topics were not addressed within the context of the article, diminishing its coherence and scholarly value.

In light of these deficiencies, I recommend that the authors undertake substantial revisions to address the following points:

- 1- Clearly articulate precise and realistic objectives that accurately reflect the scope and content of the article.
- 2- Incorporate comprehensive information on the impact of smoking on oral health, drawing upon evidence-based research and literature.
- 3- Expand upon the significance of regular dental follow-ups in the early detection and prevention of oral health problems.
- 4- Introduce a dedicated discussion section to analyze and compare data gleaned from existing literature.
- 5- Ensure proper citation of scientific information throughout the manuscript to bolster its scholarly credibility.

In summary, the mini-review requires extensive refinement and augmentation to meet the scholarly standards expected for publication.

I trust the authors will carefully consider these recommendations in revising their manuscript.

Sincerely,

Dr. Nada

