

Review of: "Sustainable Prosperity and Circular Economy in the Care of Mother Earth — The Blue Kiss"

Julio Ricardo Galindo-Pacheco

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The ecofeminist article offers valuable insights into the role of women in environmental protection. The commentary given below highlights points for further discussion, emphasizing the need for a balanced, inclusive, and respectful approach to environmental responsibility. Ultimately, safeguarding the environment requires a collective effort that transcends gender and recognizes the interconnectedness of humanity and nature.

Strengths of the Article:

Uplifting Examples: The article effectively showcases women's capabilities and unique perspectives regarding the environment.

Exploration of Gender Roles: The concept of exploring gender differences in environmental responsibility is an interesting avenue for discussion. However, it should be accompanied by the expectation of equal responsibility from men.

Feminine View of Nature: The recognition of a "feminine view" that emphasizes protection and nurturing is valuable. However, a balanced approach acknowledging nature's power and potential dangers is crucial.

Critique of Anthropocentrism: The article rightly criticizes the anthropocentric view that prioritizes human needs above all else. A more respectful approach is necessary.

Women's Use of Emotion: The concept of women leveraging emotions and motivation to address environmental challenges is a positive one. The pleasure of fulfilling a greater good is an interesting motivation.

Emphasis on Egalitarianism: The stated goal of achieving egalitarian and inclusive ecofeminism is commendable.

Points for Discussion:

Shifting Skillsets: The article suggests women developed essential skills due to past resource competition. It's worth considering if these skills remain as relevant in today's context.

"Mother Nature" Archetype: While the "Mother Nature" concept resonates with nurturing and protection, relying heavily on human-like roles for nature can be misleading. A focus on respect for natural laws is more appropriate.

Gendered Roles: While acknowledging gendered sensitivities, I think we should emphasize the importance of fostering capabilities in all individuals, regardless of gender.

Culture's Role: I think we should highlight the importance of culture in promoting environmental commitment, alongside governmental laws.

Natural Capital vs. Respect: The article's use of the term "natural capital" implies a purely economic view of nature. While acknowledging the benefits nature provides, respect for its intrinsic value is essential.



Shared Responsibility: The report suggests developing countries contribute more to resource depletion. However, data shows how the rich countries cause more damage to nature than the poor ones.

I hope this helps