

Review of: "Identification of Canine Parvovirus Antigenic Types Circulating in the Mexican Cat Population"

Marco Ruggeri

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

This is an interesting study but it needs major revisions in order to be properly considered for publication.

- 1. Multiple mistakes or partial-errors (e.g.> 60% in abstract becoming 16% in results; many partial references in the manuscript; partial abbreviations in Tab; references for primers etc),
- 2. In my opinion, the authors should decide if they want to present this data as a simple "communication or letter to the editors or preliminary study" or if they want to consider this data for an original article. In the second case, the authors should focus more on the discussion: e.g. >> "This report and others previously published[12] demonstrated that CPV-2 variants circulate amongst healthy cats; compare and discuss these results with previous" > discuss the similarity/differences compared to previous studies and why it may be/// "However, some factors favor the lack of identification of CPV-2 in cats. For example, clinical signs of infection by CPV-2 variants in cats are very similar to those produced by FPV" > Other causes?/// "therefore, it is necessary to conduct laboratory tests that are highly sensitive and specific to distinguish between both viruses. In addition, canine parvovirus could be producing signs of disease in cats" > which sings and and why it would be important to differentiate between the two.

Qeios ID: JC31GU · https://doi.org/10.32388/JC31GU