

Review of: "Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River"

Houda Lamane¹

1 Université Hassan II - Mohammadia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author, I have now read and assessed your manuscript with the above-mentioned details. Although the topic of your manuscript is interesting, there are some issues that must be addressed before the work is considered further. Please see my comments below for your action.

- 1. The introduction fails to tell the readers why RUSLE model has been considered appropriate and chosen for the current work. There are several methods that could be used for erosion studies. The literature review should also emphasize on the efficiency of the selected RUSLE model. Relevant articles should be consulted and referenced.
- 2. The aim and specific objectives should be clearly stated in the Introduction.
- 3. More details should be included in the study area, especially in terms of climate, hydrology, geology, and anthropogenic activities.
- 4. Please, what you mean by lomerío terrain?
- 5. You indicated in the summary that the estimates covered a period from 1991 to 2020, but in the data section, you indicated that the period extended from 1990 to 2020, why?
- 6. The figure captions are too brief. Please, make them more explanatory.
- 7. The quality of the figures should be improved. The texts should be legible.
- 8. Please, expand the discussion section. Compare your findings with previous studies in other regions.

All the best.