

Review of: "Mitigating organizational decision making amidst the dragging effect"

Tryson Yangailo¹

¹ University of Zambia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Good article, but need to be improved substantially. Please refer to the comments herein:

1. Please clearly state the objectives and/or research questions emerged through/supported by the research gaps in the introduction section. At the end of the introduction, author can highlight the organization of the paper.
2. Add five to eight recent literature (from 2019) to the article. Find literature also on quality management theory/framework as little has been mentioned on it.
3. Please include a **methodology** section that should include an outline of the method used to review the literature. It is also important to highlight whether the paper's argument is built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas.
4. The intext citation throughout the document need to be consistent and revised. For example, some citations have authors cited using both names whereas others have their surnames cited. On the other hand, the use of "and" and "&" within intext citation is confusing (e.g (Ngussa and Gabriel, 2017) and (Khasawneh, Alomari, & Abu-tineh, 2011)). Kindly use one type of citation only, it can be Harvard, APA or any other.
5. The references need to be revised and written consistently with one type of citation. Some are incomplete (for example" Emma Butterfield (March, 2016) Managerial Decision making and Management Accounting Information" and many more). Please ensure that what all intext citations are appearing at the references and delete all references not appearing in the article.
6. Proof read the whole documents and correct some grammatical errors. The use of capital and lower cases should also be revised (for example the first letters of the key words have inconsistencies where some words start with a lower case whereas others start with upper cases).

Overall, I enjoyed reading the paper. It is good and will have a stronger argument if corrections are done.