

# Review of: "[Commentary] Commentary on Sociocultural Beliefs and Systems Restricting Women's Access to their Marital Property Rights in Pakistan"

Madiha Salam<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> NED University of Engineering and Technology Karachi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- Need to explain the description of Marital property rights and how it is different to the definition followed in Pakistan.
- Explain the various other property issues women face in Pakistan, to explain the background of the problem at hand
- "It also increases women's power to make decisions in the household, exercise the option to leave toxic domestic environments, and build wealth and autonomy (Bishin & Cherif, 2017)" - Author must add synthesis of their own to describe this how this given empowerment to the women in Pakistani Society.
- "Automatic learning of axiomatic knowledge instils a sense of religious knowledge within individuals (heuristic processing), and promotes the culture of ritualistic reading of the Quran as opposed to learning through translation in native languages (systematic processing). Over the passage of time, this has resulted in a high degree of incongruence between perceived knowledge and actual knowledge vis-à-vis perceived knowledge and behaviour." Citation needed. Synthesis and author commentary can be added here for explanation. As well as this is not the only reason, relation to this with societal beliefs are again very important must be explained.
- "For instance, mothers are highly-respected in Pakistan, is a popular belief and a point of pride for people, yet the nation yields one of the highest maternal mortality and anaemia rates in the world, reflective of the low priority placed on the healthcare and wellbeing of the mother (Adinma & Adinma, 2011; Rizvi et al., 2014)" the connection is missing, either relate or omit
- more explanation needed for the solution proposed.

This article is commentary article, but does not state which research or article it is focusing for commentary. The article looks more based on the author's own personal opinions and supported in bits with the literature but with loose ends.