

# Review of: "A Survey: Looking for the best possible way of modern engagement with Traditional Indian knowledge"

Tilak Tewari<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Victoria University of Wellington

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The title of the paper is not well described and the author has failed to provide a strong introduction that justifies or even explains what they mean by 'modern engagement' and 'traditional Indian knowledge.'

The main body of the paper lacks any definitions of the terms widely used in the survey questionnaire and fails to draw any meaningful links with the bulk of context provided in the introductory sections. Clearly defining key terms is crucial to establish a common understanding among readers, ensuring that they interpret the questions in the intended manner. By omitting these definitions, the paper leaves readers/respondents confused or uncertain about the precise meaning of the terms, potentially leading to inconsistent or inaccurate responses or misinterpretations. Due to the lack of definitions the paper fails to link the body of the paper and the latter survey responses, which are rendered meaningless.

The context provided is itself insufficient and ineffectual in supporting the author's overarching narrative. Contextualisation is the foundation upon which a good research paper is written, providing readers with essential background information, setting the stage for the study, and establishing the relevance and significance of the topic. This paper is a disjointed reading experience which significantly undermines its persuasiveness.

The overarching narrative is itself poorly constructed and it is unclear to the reader what the primary arguments or research questions being pursued are. The author should consider using effective transitions and signposting throughout the paper to guide readers through the narrative. Clear and concise topic sentences, headings, or subheadings can help orient readers and highlight the main points being discussed. The random capitalisations and italics through the body of the text serve no purpose.

There is very little citation in the paper. There is no analysis of the survey results. There is no discussion by the author and no conclusions drawn. The paper fails to fulfill its purpose of examining the research questions or objectives through the survey. Readers are left without a clear understanding of the patterns, trends, or relationships present in the data, and the potential significance of the findings remains unexplored.

To improve their paper, the author needs to reevaluate their research questions, establish the significance of their survey questions, provide proper contextualisation, and properly describe the key aspects that can help them build an argument. This paper needs to be reconceptualised from scratch.

