

Review of: "Hazard Risk Reductions Should Not Be Communicated as Relative Risk Reductions for Death in Cancer Clinical Trials: Intentional or Inadvertent?"

Samer Mohammed¹

1 University of Baghdad

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The review topic holds significant importance, and the author explicitly outlines their objectives in this review article.

Nevertheless, it is necessary for him to have a method section that specifies the process by which he chose the article to include, the specific time span during which the search was conducted, and the criteria used to determine which studies were included and excluded.

The introduction was lucid and elucidated the existing knowledge on this subject. Furthermore, the research question is explicitly delineated. The data in the results section was provided in a suitable manner, with all tables and figures being pertinent and presented in a lucid manner.

The findings are analyzed from many perspectives and contextualized without excessive interpretation. The conclusions address the objectives of the study and are substantiated by references.

Qeios ID: JG0JO9 · https://doi.org/10.32388/JG0JO9