

Review of: "Toxicity of Olea africana in Artemia Salina and Mice"

| Arnida Hani Teh ¹ |
|---|
| 1 Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia |
| Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare. |
| A good piece of writing overall, but needs refinement and improvement for better clarity. |
| |
| Title: |
| Appropriate reflecting the manuscript. |
| Check the format of writing scientific names. |
| The author's affiliation in the first and second page is not consistent. |
| |
| Abstract: |
| It is suggested to refine this section after reviewing the comments on the entire manuscript. |
| |
| Introduction: |
| Appropriate. |
| Writing flow and clarity can be improved. |
| |
| Research design/Methodology: |
| Clearly described and reproducible. |
| |
| 2.6 What are the modifications made? |
| Specify the OECD guidelines used. |



State how the treatment dose is administered to the animal.

2.7 Specify the OECD guidelines used.

State how the treatment dose is administered to the animal.

2.8 and 2.9 It is suggested that the phrase 'on the 29th day of the experiment' to be replaced with 'at the end of the experiment'.

Data Analysis:

It is not clear why the two-way ANOVA was used and not presented in the results section.

Page 8, paragraph 3 – check data accuracy based on Table 2.

Table 3 is not self-explanatory.

Page 9, paragraph 1 – There was no significant difference for all groups.

Page 10, paragraph 3, line 1 to 2 – check data accuracy based on Table 3.

Page 10, paragraph 4 – There was no significant difference for all groups.

Discussion:

Refine this section after reviewing the results accuracy.

Conclusion:

Refine and rephrase to be clearer and in line with the objective.

References:

Most references are outdated.

