

Review of: "[Review] Early Real World Evidence on the Relative SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Effectiveness of Bivalent COVID-19 Booster Doses: a Narrative Review"

Mansoureh Dehghani¹

1 Mashhad University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I found the above study to be important, useful, and well written. In terms of methodology and discussion, it was well done, well explained and the results were interesting. The introductory section does a good job of explaining the importance of the topic and existing guidelines, the methods are concise and easy to understand, and the discussion section, especially the "limitations" part, is well structured.

The only points I can mention are:

- 1. Use fewer abbreviations and explain each one when you first write. (VE, BA, etc.)
- 2. Fixed typos and phrases. (severe*2:page4, findings on on: page5, individuals or people instead of persons, regardless instead of whether or not, etc.)
- 3. Detailed descriptions of the major COVID-19 vaccine series are on page 3.
- 4. Sub-analysis of immunogenicity data in specific at-risk populations (as the authors state that these populations can be selected for annual vaccination).

Qeios ID: JMWRFG · https://doi.org/10.32388/JMWRFG