

# Review of: "Ukrainian Theatre in Exile: A Case Study, Poland"

Sirkku Aaltonen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Communication Studies (Professor Emerita), University of Vaasa, Finland

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Information Technology and Communication Studies (Docent), Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an immensely important article, which could be improved by structural clarification. The line of argument should be made clear to help the reader along. I suggest the following improvements:

1. The aim (claim, argument) of the article is not clear. Is it that the NATO nomenclature should include Operational area 6, which should focus on x areas or take x areas into account? Not named in the abstract.
2. The line of argumentation (the thread, the structure) should be straightforward. You need to keep to the structure and avoid diversions, as they sometimes take the reader too far from the point of the paper.
3. Try to identify background information from the discussion of your argumentation to support your claim.
4. When you are structuring the individual paragraphs within chapters, remember the following: The first sentence is THE TOPIC SENTENCE, which defines what the paragraph is all about. All the other sentences in the paragraph support the claim of the topic sentence.
5. I have added a number of remarks in the paper, which, I hope, will help you in improving the structure.
6. FINALLY, the improvement of structure will help to make this very important article more reader-friendly.