

Review of: "[Viewpoint] Vaccination campaigns against Covid-19 may promote vaccine hesitancy toward mostly well-established, safe, and effective vaccines"

Hepeng Jia¹

¹ Suzhou University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This viewpoint is crucially important and correct. In order to negate a growing public perception of a causal relationship between Covid-19 vaccinations and serious adverse effects, raw data should be released further research promoted, and open debate permitted without exclusion. However, in most countries, COVID-19 is a past tense and a discussion on COVID-19 itself is too old. Therefore, a viewpoint should primarily cover lessons from the COVID-19. For example, should we always release raw data and promote open debate regarding all emergency vaccines? If so, how to deal with the public understanding issue? People may not understand how to understand raw data. In addition, in emergency or pandemic, there might not have enough clearly-produced data to release. How to deal with it? How about the increasing partisanship? Under the polarized attitude, open debate may not be a good solution. So, if this viewpoint is to offer us some more refreshing insights, it shouldn't neglect all these issues – both regarding the emergency pandemic situation and how the COVID-19 lessons can become implications for the future.