

## Review of: "Sustainable Agriculture and Climate Resilience in Türkiye: A Comprehensive Analysis"

Thanasis Kizos<sup>1</sup>

1 Aegean University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I tried to read the paper as a scientific text. It is not. It is a rather lengthy Report. As a Report it is a good and informative report. As a scientific paper though it lacks many things, both in terms of structure and format:

Structure: we do not have a concrete question or sets of questions that the author will attempt to resolve. It lacks also an underlying conceptual framework that would guide the presentation of the data and its analysis. The literature is rich. The author does not engage with it. In the end, it presents a long sets of lists that are somehow supposed to be linked to sustainable agriculture (now that needs to be defined better in the text...) and climate resilience. Moreover, there is no attempt to critically discuss all these long lists. OK, so the government say this. Does the author agree? what does the literature say? what other independent research demonstrates? And in the end: what does an international reader get from this? Nothing in my opinion. This may be a valuable report for Turkish readers but not for this Mediterranean reader. The author should attempt to highlight the international relevance of the results. At the moment there is none.

Format: so many things are wrong here: no presentation and critical discussion of the methods and of the data sources. Do we trust these data that are presented? why? Also the support from references is very poor and so is the (in-existent) discussion.

I would suggest to the author to rethink the paper and rework it. It will require also a significant reduction, there is so much redundant parts in the text.

Qeios ID: JOZ7D6 · https://doi.org/10.32388/JOZ7D6