

# Review of: "The Impact of TikTok on Students: A Literature Review"

Mahboobe Mehrvarz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Carnegie Mellon University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Although the topic of "The Impact of TikTok on Students" is interesting, current, and valuable, it lacks some important elements that make it a scientific research article. While the pros and cons of using TikTok are discussed, the information presented reads more like a report than a scientific paper. I will provide a few examples to illustrate this:

The article doesn't clearly state the problem it aims to address or the research objectives. It also doesn't specify the research method used, which is important to understand how the study was conducted. Additionally, there is no discussion section to analyze the findings.

Some sentences are too long and can be difficult to understand. For example, consider this sentence: "Some of the adverse effects of TikTok addiction include inferiority complexes, superiority complexes, and complexes of attractiveness and an increased usage of social media platforms by students is associated with a notable shift in their study habits, resulting in reduced attention towards scholarly works and This is particularly evident when there is an imbalance between excessive TikTok use and limited focus during study time."

Some claims in the article lack references and supporting evidence. It's important to cite relevant studies and evidence to back up statements. For example, the claim that excessive TikTok use affects students' focus on studying should be supported by research findings.

The article seems to focus more on TikTok's impact on all users rather than specifically on students. It would be helpful to narrow down the focus and discuss how TikTok affects students specifically.

It's unclear which referencing style (APA, Chicago, Harvard, etc.) the article follows. It's important to clarify this and maintain consistency throughout the article.

The article lacks a discussion section where the findings can be analyzed and interpreted in relation to previous research. It's important to provide a comprehensive discussion that highlights the study's contributions and limitations.