

## Review of: "Aerodynamic Design and Performance Analysis of Mars Ascent Vehicles"

## Abdul Aabid<sup>1</sup>

1 Prince Sultan University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Here are my comments with major revisions.

- Include recent advancements and broader context within aerospace engineering, especially for Mars ascent vehicle designs.
- 2. More explicitly define the study's scope and aims, and specify expected outcomes or hypotheses.
- 3. Provide clearer explanations of computational methods, settings in the CFD simulations, boundary conditions, mesh sizes, and convergence criteria.
- 4. Strengthen discussions by directly comparing findings with existing data or other studies to underline the research contributions.
- 5. Improve validation of computational models with experimental data or benchmarks to ensure reliability of the simulation results.
- 6. Critically evaluate and discuss how assumptions may affect outcomes and limit the generalizability of results.
- 7. Enhance clarity and presentation of figures and tables with better resolution, descriptive legends, and consistent formatting.
- 8. Discuss the uncertainties in simulation results and analyze the sensitivity of the results to different parameters and modeling assumptions.

Qeios ID: JPLQDI · https://doi.org/10.32388/JPLQDI