

Review of: "Austrian Economics Analysis for Failures and Paradoxes in the Digitalization of the Spanish Tourism Industry"

Jan Frančeškin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Abstract should have: Very clear motive, Reference to any key preliminary reference in this specific area, purpose/objectives, novelty/key contribution (contribution), Brief, how it is structured.
2. A literature review is missing if this is a research paper.
3. Make your own tables in the article.
4. The title of the paper is unclear. You must change it appropriately or clarify its meaning.
5. Clearer dividing line between contributions from other authors and your contribution – What is your contribution to science with this article?
6. The article needs to be more focused. Core themes - digitisation and Spanish tourism needs to be more exposed and researched. There should be more references from these two research fields.
7. In Chapter 4 I would expect from the author to explain his findings from his own research. In this Chapter there are too many other references.
8. There is also too much referencing of old literature. I suggest that the author uses only the core old literature in the article.
9. I'd also agree with dr. Florida – Benitez that we don't know exactly what the article is: a literature review, a case study,....
10. This article needs major corrections to be suitable for publication.