

Peer Review

# Review of: "Evaluation of Musical Conductors' Exposure to Noise"

Oluwaseun Okimiji<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Environmental Management, Lagos State University, Lagos, Nigeria

## Comments

The study evaluates occupational noise exposures among a musical conductor in a musical hall. Hence, the overall presentation of the manuscript is fair enough, but the paper needs major revision for it to be considered for publication.

1. The author is suggested to unbold the abstract section. The word count should not exceed 100.

2. The author should also include five keywords.

Note that a short communication should not be more than 3000 words, including the abstract, captions, and references. Hence, the references should not be more than ten.

3. Materials and Methods Section: The author is suggested to rename this section as "Materials and Methods". Moreover, Table 1 in the Results Section should be moved to the Materials and Methods section.

Rather than having a sub-heading called "b) Daily Activity Log," which should be completely removed, the author is suggested to have a sub-heading that integrates "Study Research Approach," such as Research Design, Experimental Procedure for Noise Level Measurement (Duration, Period, Number of Participants, Year), and Statistical Analysis. Note that all this explanation should not exceed 200 words.

4. Results and Discussions: The author is suggested to merge the results and discussion sections together. Moreover, the author is suggested to input tables immediately after each explanation for easy and clear

understanding; this correction should be done throughout the manuscript. Furthermore, there are no current literatures backing the findings of this study. It is best for authors to remove old references from the introduction section while considering updating the results and discussion section with current literatures and references.

Moreover, the table title should come at the head of each table, and not at the bottom.

5. Conclusion Section: This section is still not properly documented. The result summary of the author's findings should be presented, followed by the limitations and future scope, which should also be highlighted. Before coming to the conclusion and recommendation, the authors should completely rewrite and explain the significance and application of their results for clarity purposes. Notably, references are not necessary in this section; the author should conclude and recommend based on the findings from this study. The word count for this section should not exceed 100.

6. References should be provided according to the journal guidelines.

**Attachments:** available at <https://doi.org/10.32388/JQ8OI0>

## **Declarations**

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.