

Review of: "Blockchain EV Payment Systems: A Systematic Literature Review in Retail Energy Trading"

Ambara Purusottama¹

¹ Prasetya Mulya Business School

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. I still have difficulty seeing your importance in doing a systematic literature review. You need to elaborate on the matter clearly and thoroughly. With these arguments, the reader will not be confused looking for justification for the importance of your research.
2. When conducting a systematic literature review, it's better to visualize the process since you mention using some tools, such as PRISMA or RADAR. The same thing is also necessary when you classify the concepts (taxonomy) in the literature. Readers will find it easier to understand your explanation.
3. I think the number of 21 articles is too small to make a systematic literature review. What is the justification for this number? I can't understand the process because you don't explain much. The number 21 appears without a more detailed explanation.
4. The phrases you use are "blockchain" OR "digital ledger" AND "payment system". However, the research topic focuses on EVs. How would you explain the relationship between the phrase and EV. This part is very confusing.
5. In the discussion section, you can group your arguments based on research questions. This grouping can make it easier for readers to see how your research answers all the questions you explained in the introduction section.
6. The process from research gaps to generating potential for further research is less elaborated. I suggest elaborating on the problem using tables or pictures so that the construction of the relationship between the two becomes clearer.