

## Review of: "Identification of Epidural Space: A Comparison Study Between Contrast Spread and Loss of Resistance Techniques"

Carlos Shiraishi Zapata

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

First of all, I would like to thank you for the honor of reviewing this manuscript. My comments are the following:

- Title section:
- It could be useful to specify in the title that this study deals with techniques of identification of the cervical epidural space.
- Methods section:
- It is mentioned that the patients were eligible for the study if they were covered by medical insurance. Did they have any specific and additional insurance that would cover any damage that occurred due to the procedures in this clinical trial?
- How was the number of patients required to make the comparison between patients who received cannulation of the epidural space with one type of needle or another calculated?

Qeios ID: JQK48U · https://doi.org/10.32388/JQK48U