

Review of: "A Comparison of Performance for Different SARS-Cov-2 Sequencing Protocols"

Dr. Rajeev Kumar¹

¹ Administrative Staff College of India

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors should better elaborate on the challenge in real time applications.

The authors should also state the overall captured results/conclusions of their work.

Your manuscript shows an unacceptable level of overlap with prior publications.

There are numerous complete sentences and paragraphs that are virtually unchanged.

The authors should better investigate the existing literature review and update this Section.

(2020). Needs and importance of reliability prediction: an industrial perspective. *Information Sciences Letters*, 9(1), 5.

(2021). Predicting software bugs of newly and large datasets through a unified neuro-fuzzy approach: Reliability perspective. *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 10(1), 543-555.

(2022). Analyzing the Implications of Healthcare Data Breaches through Computational Technique. *Intelligent Automation and Soft Computing*, 1763-1779.

The Introduction part should be enhanced with additional details regarding the investigated problem. Among the additional details, I suggest to the authors to also include relevant statistics to verify the problem's statement.

A paragraph is missing mentioning the overall structure of the paper, at the end of the Introduction section.

The authors state that they could not find any research works based on concept that discuss artefacts and other segments. However, they could also describe other technologies/solutions that the rest of the relevant existing research works are using, clarifying why the usage of techniques goes beyond such solutions and is preferred for the investigated problem.

Additional representative up-to-date references should be added.

The authors should better elaborate on their future work.

In the present form, the originality of the study cannot be assessed.

