

# Review of: "Collaborative Intelligence: A scoping review of current applications"

Niyi Ogunbiyi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Westminster

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper performs a Systematic Literature Review to identify current applications of collaborative intelligence and categorise the manner in which they are developing. The paper is well-written, the methodology is sound, and its execution is reasonably thorough.

However; in my opinion, the paper could be improved by the following:

1. While the paper gives a brilliant definition of collaborative intelligence and why it is necessary, it could have been better positioned within the literature base of collaborative intelligence reviews. Some work has been done in this space (e.g., see [Collaborative Intelligence in Smart Cities - A Systematic Review](#)), and the paper could have discussed these with a view to identifying gaps that this review sought to fill. This [paper](#) recommends completing a systematic pre-review map to determine whether or not previous reviews have adequately answered the proposed review question(s); in essence, to confirm that the proposed systematic literature review is not duplicative.
2. The discussion section appears light. For example, the paper could have discussed the ethical factors raised by a number of the applications identified (e.g. SAGE & ARMAR 6). Papers such as [An Exploration of Ethical Decision Making with Intelligence Augmentation](#) or [Liability for AI decision-making: some legal and ethical considerations](#) might assist in this discussion.