

Review of: "Evaluation of Diabetes Risk Score Tool for Detecting Undiagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Referral Clinics at Primary Health Care Centers in Sudan"

Sachin Atre¹

¹ Dr. D. Y. Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is relevant; however, it lacks in some aspects, especially the scientific part. I have the following comments/questions for the authors:

1. Authors should specify the selected population for the study, i.e., Type 2 diabetes, right at the beginning.
2. In my opinion, authors should avoid using the term "prevalence," which is applied for a large dataset. Proportion would be better for 214 individuals.
3. DRS has a high sensitivity (83.33%), but specificity is low (66%). I am not sure how authors got an AUC of 0.95.
4. The dataset has a sample of mostly females (77%). Can authors explain the reasons for this inclusion, purposive sampling, or what?