

Review of: "Integrating Community Service in Language Education: Fostering Social Change via Inclusive and Transformative Learning Experiences"

Azza Jauhar Ahmad Tajuddin¹

¹ Universiti Malaysia Terengganu

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- The **abstract** lacks clarity and conciseness, making it challenging for readers to grasp the main points quickly. Streamline the abstract by eliminating unnecessary details. Clearly articulate the research question, methodology, key findings, and conclusions in a succinct manner.
- The **significance of the study** is not well-articulated. It's essential to explicitly convey why this research is important. Clearly outline the practical implications and contributions of the study. Explain how CBL may address gaps in the existing literature and why it is relevant to the field of language education.
- The **background** lacks contextual information. Readers need more details about the broader setting of the study (Indonesian context?). Provide additional information about the geographical, historical, or institutional context in which the study is situated to enhance readers' understanding.
- The **methodology** lacks clarity and detail. Consider providing a step-by-step description of the research design and data collection procedures. Revise the methodology section to include detailed explanations of each research step, ensuring transparency and replicability for future researchers. The rationale for choosing specific methodologies is unclear. Provide a more robust justification for the chosen methods.
- The presentation of **findings** lacks clarity. Consider revising visual aids and ensuring proper labeling. Redesign figures, tables, or graphs for better clarity. Include concise captions and ensure that all visual aids are referenced and explained in the text. Delve deeper into the implications of the results.