

Review of: "Comparative Study Between the Efficacy of 4% Articaine Infiltration and 2% Lidocaine Nerve Block as Local Anesthetic Agents for Painful Dental Procedures in Children Aged 6-18 Years"

Simona Stojanović¹

¹ University of Nis

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The paper titled "**Comparative Study Between the Efficacy of 4% Articaine Infiltration and 2% Lidocaine Nerve Block as Local Anesthetic Agents for Painful Dental Procedures in Children Aged 6-18 Years**" contains all elements and parts of scientific research.
2. The methodology has been explained; however, I believe that it is necessary for patients to have a unique dental treatment, i.e., that one group cannot include children who had pulpitis on a permanent tooth, for example, and the extraction of a baby tooth.

If we had pulpitis on permanent teeth, it would be much different to compare to baby teeth extraction in terms of action of local anesthetic.
3. I suppose that extraction of teeth was performed because of pulpitis.

Therefore, it is necessary to emphasize that it was primarily with regard to the extraction of milk teeth (or permanent?).
4. It would also be desirable to suggest the exact technique of infiltration or block anesthesia in the upper or in the lower jaw.

I SUGGEST THE PAPER FOR ACCEPTANCE WITH MINOR MODIFICATIONS.

Sincerely,

Simona