

Review of: "Analytical Study and Amelioration of Plastic Pavement Material Quality"

Jens Schuster¹

¹ Hochschule Kaiserslautern

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract: As pointed out by another reviewer, the abstract is too long and too detailed.

Chapter 1:

- a) The values shall have just four digits
- b) A reference has to be given for the first sentence in the last chapter
- c) The objective and the choice of materials have to be justified

Chapter 2:

- a) It is always cm^3
- b) The melting point of PET is about 250 °C!
- c) There should have been DSC analyses of polymers used
- d) The DoE should be presented in a table with numbers for the different mixtures
- e) The equations given for the three-point-bending test are incorrect. They relate to tensile tests.

Chapter 3:

- a) Error bars are completely missing
- b) All figures shall have the same layout
- c) It is always MPa
- d) The values of the neat material without reinforcement have to be displayed
- e) No commas but dots in the graphs (instead of 11,9 => 11.9)

Chapter 5:

That is no conclusions. The chapter shall be renamed "Discussion" because a discussion is missing. The point of

discussion has to be elaborated.

Chapter 6:

The conclusions have to be drawn and written in a new chapter 6.

References:

Why are there references and other references? That is not usual.