

# Review of: "Real-World Efficacy of N95, Surgical, and Cloth Masks in Mitigating SARS-CoV-2 Respiratory Infections: A Comprehensive Comparative Study"

Sakshaleni Rajendiran Rajendiran<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ministry of Health Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is interesting and well written. However, there are minor mistakes that can be amended and some suggestions to improve it.

The abstract was written in methodologically. However, the information for the method section in abstract was inadequate. The aim of this study was clearly written. The author should cautiously use the word "significant". For instance "cloth masks provided a significant level of protection compared to no mask usage". Significance level should be with p-value which was not stated in this study.

Introduction was mixed with method. It would be better to construct introduction as to introduce the topic, gap identified and how the current study can bridge the gap. As for method section, the PCR test was tested every 3 days - is there any drop out? was it performed for all respondents? where was the test performed? what sample was used? Any statistical analysis performed?

Discussion of the results could be elaborated in discussion section (rather than in results section).