

Review of: "How Do Academicians Publish More Research Papers for Their Promotion and Positions? A Scrutiny of CV"

Daneš Brzica

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Although the topic is an important area related to academic activity and a look at specific experiences is interesting, I have a few comments to make about the contribution.

Due to the nature of the text, it is not a scientific article as it is common to judge it in scientific journals. It is not only about the overall scope, but also about other characteristics typical of scientific articles. Since this is quite a much discussed and complex area, it is important to pay attention to many aspects when preparing an article. I mention some of them in the following points.

- 1) I miss the standard structure, content, and scope of the article. This includes, for example, a detailed overview of the current state of scientific knowledge in the subject area with corresponding references to literature published in standard scientific literature (scientific journals, books published by renowned publishers, etc.). I also lack detailed theoretical background and methodology, quantitative and qualitative analysis and so on.
- 2) The content of the text is undersized and its wording does not correspond to a standard scientific text, but rather to another literary form (essay). There is a lack of a detailed description of the research, including the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the file on the basis of which the author draws his conclusions.
- 3) The conclusions should contain more detailed arguments supporting the author's claims, as this is a relatively complex and much discussed issue.
- 4) The author should include their full name, and it may be more appropriate to include their institutional email address as well.

In conclusion, I recommend the author to continue his interesting work and try to develop it further. Some of my remarks may perhaps help him in his work.