

Review of: "How Do Academicians Publish More Research Papers for Their Promotion and Positions? A Scrutiny of CV"

A.B. Rajendra Santosh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor,

This article has several notable strengths. Firstly, it addresses a pertinent issue in the academic world, specifically the challenges associated with achieving a high number of publications for career advancement. It highlights a common problem faced by academics and engages readers who may have encountered similar issues. Moreover, the article effectively presents the problem, making it easy for readers to understand. It provides practical examples, such as the order of authorship and financial contributions, which help illustrate the issue. Importantly, the article doesn't just criticize the problem; it suggests potential solutions and calls for reform, adding depth and offering a path toward improvement. On the other hand, this article also has certain weaknesses. It lacks concrete evidence or data to substantiate its claims, primarily relying on the author's anecdotal observations from reviewing CVs. Including references to relevant studies or reports on academic publishing practices would lend more credibility to the article. The suggested reforms are somewhat vague and could benefit from more detailed explanations regarding how they could be implemented and any potential challenges they might encounter. The article appears to have a bias against the practices it criticizes, potentially limiting its objectivity. A more balanced perspective that considers why these practices occur could provide a more comprehensive view. Additionally, the article doesn't explore the potential consequences of the proposed reforms on the academic community. A clearer conclusion summarizing key points and emphasizing the importance of addressing these issues would enhance the overall impact of the article.

Respectfully yours,