

Review of: "Neurotherapeutic Comparison of Aripiprazole and Ethanolic Extract of *Fragaria Ananassa* on Cerebrum and Amygdala of Methamphetamine Intoxicated Male Wistar Rats"

Peter Ekanem¹

¹ Mekelle University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I thank the journal for the invitation to review this manuscript. For the authors, it was a good attempt to write an article for publication.

My comments are:

1. The title doesn't reflect the proposed scope of the work.
2. The abstract is not properly written, with many flaws in organization, incomplete or fragmented statements, and grammatical errors.
3. In the background, there are many "copy and paste" instances; the 1st and 3rd paragraphs are examples of plagiarism (Wikipedia). The objective and the significance of the study are not clearly stated.
4. Materials and Methods lack the phytochemistry of the extract, the number of rats used, a toxicology study of the extract, etc. The authors mentioned a histological study in the abstract but no mention of this in the materials and methods, results, or discussion.
5. Results and discussion are poorly written and lack the scientific way of presenting the results and discussing them.
6. Conclusion has no relevance to any findings the authors observed.
7. Referencing and citations are not according to any standard systems.

Conclusion: The manuscript is not publishable in this form. The authors need to include senior academicians who are conversant with the standard format of writing articles for publication to guide them in doing this.