

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

Mathewos Anza

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The scientific name of the plant species should be written in italic.
2. In the abstract section, the data analysis methodology should be removed or rewrite again.

The data from the acute toxicity study was summarized as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed using the unpaired Student's t-test. The data from the subacute toxicity study was summarized as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed using Two Way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. The significance level was set at $p\leq 0.05$.

3. In paragraph, line 5, the authors should write the name of solvent correctly. the pet ether extract of *C. hirsuta*,
4. How the authors identified the plant species? If they did it please mention the name of the botanist who authenticate the plants and their Boucher numbers where they are stored.
5. The extraction method of the plants are not clearly mentioned, please check this section again, the amount of samples, the final yield of your extract.
6. The conclusion of the study is very poor, should be improved.