

Review of: "Emerging Omicron Subvariants EG.5 and BA.2.86: Implications for COVID-19 Immunity and Surveillance"

Sudipti Arora

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- · Clear Objectives: The paper doesn't clearly state its goals.
- · Introduction: The introduction doesn't explain why the study is important.
- Simpler Language: The writing could be clearer, especially when talking about how certain mutations affect immunity. These parts need to be easier to understand.
- · **Use of Visuals:** Adding some charts or tables to show where key mutations are and what they do would help make the information easier to follow.
- Better Conclusion: The conclusion should explain what the study's findings mean in real life. It would be good to include advice on how public health measures should change in response to these new variants.
- Paper Structure: The organization of the paper could be improved so that it flows better.
- Less Jargon: Some sections are too technical and might be hard for most readers to understand. Using simpler language would make the paper more accessible.

Qeios ID: K1TW9V · https://doi.org/10.32388/K1TW9V