

Peer Review

Review of: "Review, Evolution, and Theoretical Implications of the Utility Concept"

Ricardo Crespo^{1,2}

1. CONICET; 2. Universidad Austral Buenos Aires, Argentina

I agree with the previous reviewers. It is a nice paper that widens the scope of utility. It is also updated using material from contemporary approaches such as the capability approach, behavioural, and happiness economics. It is a good contribution to highlight the relevance of positive freedom.

However, I think that it needs, for example, more precision in the quotations, specifying the place where the authors mentioned or write what the authors of the paper say that they think. For example, Broome, Bentham, Smith, Mill, etc.

A detail: concerning Aristotle in Nicomachean Ethics, he uses the Greek term "chreia" that also has a subjective connotation.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.