

# Review of: "The antithetical relationship of Entrepreneurship and Corruption on Radicalization among the Moroccan Youths: An Empirical study"

Uchenna C. Obiagu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Generally, the manuscript on "The antithetical relationship of entrepreneurship and corruption on radicalization among the Moroccan Youths: An Empirical study" is well written and conveys the scientific message on research reporting. The authors demonstrate a mastery of scientific research. The article is okay in structuring from the introduction to the text, result, discussion and conclusion.

Having said this, there are areas that need further attention to improve the quality of the manuscript. These are presented, thus:

1. Topic: the topic conveys a message of antithetical (i.e., contrasting) relationship of entrepreneurship and corruption on radicalization among the Moroccan youths, but conveys message of consequences. I would rather suggest the topic be rephrased as "The antithetical consequences of entrepreneurship and corruption on radicalization among the Moroccan Youths: An Empirical study"
2. Abstract: the statistics in the abstract is not ideal. The authors should use other ways to convey the same message without being too statistical. Two, the style of using abbreviations without first undertaking their operational definition is not ideal.
3. Text: please use "and" and not "&" for: Recently, an up-to-date literature review by Chaudhary & Bansal (2022) and (Eckhardt & Shane, 2003). This "Douglass North (2005)" should read "North (2005)", and Lingelbach *et al.* (2005: p1) should read Lingelbach *et al.* (2005: p. 1), etc.

There are a whole lot of inconsistencies with these parenthetical citations: "Ali et al. 2016, Tinta 2022, Tahir et al. 2022)) and labour more efficiently and effectively to a geo-political and socio-economic stable country and/or location (Shane & Venkataraman, 2000; Cassia & Minola, 2012; Andersén & Samuelsson, 2016; Ali et al. 20161; Discua Cruz *et al.*, 2020 and Thijs et al. 2022). In this sense, opportunities represent the offerings of a new product or service into existence (Eckhardt & Shane, 2003)" and these ones: "(Frye and Shleifer, 1997), (Kuczynski and Williamson, 2003), and "Banalieva and Sarathy, 2010; Cuervo-Cazurra and Dau, 2009a/b/c)". Take note of omission of commas, the use of "&" and "and" in the bracket and outside the bracket for citation purposes. Please adopt one style and use it all through the work.

In all, the article requires serious editing to eliminate some grammatical errors in it like "they all instigated by Moroccan Networks" in the first sentence of the abstract, which should read: "they were all instigated by Moroccan Networks".

This: Lingelbach *et al.* (2005: p1) pointed out 'Entrepreneurship in developing countries is arguably the least studied significant economic and social phenomenon in the world today, which should read: Lingelbach *et al.* (2005: p1) pointed out: 'Entrepreneurship in developing countries is arguably the least studied significant economic and social phenomenon in the world today

Or

Lingelbach *et al.* (2005: p1) pointed out that 'Entrepreneurship in developing countries is arguably the least studied significant economic and social phenomenon in the world today, etc.