

# Review of: "The Parental Role in Child Sexuality: A Phenomenological Study"

Marc Eric S. Reyes<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Santo Tomas

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Please support this statement with a supporting reference/citation "For this reason, we do not characterize childhood sexuality as a whole, opting instead for a cut from 6 to 10 years of the child's life, when the first cycle of Basic Education begins." You must support your claim that 6 to 10 years old is when the cycle of Basic Education begins.

I noticed that a lot of your references about sexuality is too old and outdated. I recommend that more recent references be used, preferably nothing below 2010. I find this particular concept of sexuality, outdated and conventional "with reproduction: it is through sexual relations (except in special cases) that a man and a woman can have a child."

I hope the authors would be progressive enough to discuss that at present human sexuality is considered to be a "spectrum" by discussing the concept of SOGIE (sexual orientation, gender identity, expression). This newer and progressive concept of human sexuality is backed-up by psychological science. Although Freud's theory is historically significant and ground-breaking during his time, there are more modern theories that are evidence-based.

In the data analysis, did the authors execute "reflexivity"? If yes, then discuss how it was executed, if not, why was it not executed.

Based on the results, I would recommend that part of the recommendations is for parents to be psycho-educated on the newer concept of human sexuality. Sexual Literacy is important and more so, a more progressive and recent evidence-based concept and literature about sexuality must be taught to both parents and children.