

# Review of: "Internal migration and mental disorders among the adult population: a community-based cross-sectional study in Nepal"

Emmanuel Ejembi Anyebe<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Ilorin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

### **Abstract**

The abstract is focused but prospective in nature.

The Abstract also needs to highlight the conclusion and at least a recommendation at the end.

#### Introduction

Apt and focused. The introduction is well-structured and logical.

## Methodology

Well-structured and has all the sections.

Setting was well defined. However, address this sentence: ....the word migrant refers to those persons who have shifted to a new place or country. It should read (add 's' to migrant): the word "migrants" refers to those persons who have shifted to a new place or country

# **Results**

The results are very clear. The results need to be reflected in the abstract.

**Discussion:** Well presented.

"The present study showed that migrants were less likely (aOR=0.98, 0.78-1.22, p=0.86) to have a mental disorder than the original participants, which is similar to the results of a study conducted in China; however, the present study's results were not found to be statistically significant." This is part of the discussion, but your literature review shows that migrants have a higher prevalence of mental disorders (Migrants have a higher risk of mental disorders than local residents, and studies have shown that over the short or the long term, migration increases the risk of psychiatric disturbances.

Empirical studies in different countries, including the United States, Russia, Germany, and other European countries, showed that migrant workers often have less access to healthcare services when compared to non-migrants in the same country). The authors need to reconcile the two contrasting views. Is there any possible reason why the findings in Nepal



are different from the other empirical studies?

Conclusion: Clear

**Recommendations** based on the findings are absent. Add some recommendations.

Qeios ID: K57O7N · https://doi.org/10.32388/K57O7N