

Review of: "Analysis of Traub's method for cubic"

Seydi Battal Gazi Karakoc¹

¹ Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewer report about the Analysis of Traub's method for cubic

I have the following (minor) corrections to improve the paper:

1. The Abstract section should contain answers to the following questions: What are the significant results? What conclusions can be drawn from the results? What is the novelty of the work, and where does it go beyond previous efforts in the literature?
2. Introduction and Conclusion sections are too short so the author should improve it by adding physical applications and so on.
3. The title of the article must be completed.
4. Authors should add following relevant paper in reference for better presentation of manuscript:
 - a) Petrov-Galerkin method with cubic B-splines for solving the MEW equation, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 19(2): 215-227.
 - b) Galerkin Finite Element Solution for Benjamin-Bona-Mahony-Burgers Equation with Cubic B-Splines, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 77, no. 7, pp. 1917–1932, 2019.
 - c) A numerical investigation of the GRLW equation using lumped Galerkin approach with cubic B spline, SpringerPlus, 5:199, 1-17, 2016.
 - d) A cubic B spline Galerkin approach for the numerical simulation of the GEW equation, Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing, no. 4, pp. 30–41, 2016.
5. At the end of all equations must be putted "comma" or "point" according to the typing rules. Therefore, they need to pre-check all the paper.
6. The originality of the paper needs to be stated clearly. It is of importance to have sufficient results to justify the novelty of a high-quality journal paper.
7. A physical description of each graph should be given.

In the end, that is, this work is suitable for publication in this journal, after taking into account the previous points.