

Review of: "Customary Land Tenure, Mining, and the Development Question: Insights From a Transitional State"

Seyyed Akbar Sadaty

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Date: 21 March 2024

The authors of the manuscript: [George T. Mudimu](#), [Innocent Chirisa](#), [Brenda Vhiriri](#).

"Greetings to all."

Article title: **Customary Land Tenure, Mining, and the Development Question: Insights From a Transitional State**

The result of the quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the manuscript by the respected authors is as follows:

A- The title of the manuscript is interesting and scientific, considering the past history of the target society.

B- The abstract of the manuscript, from the multifaceted structure of the research target community (UMP), is somewhat coordinated and acceptable.

C- The keywords of the manuscript are consistent with the text.

1- The introduction of the manuscript is actually a dissection of the target area (UMP), including Zimbabwe's economic opportunities, which have been discussed to a certain extent and are acceptable. But the weaknesses and deficiencies in the introduction and sub-sets of the manuscript are as follows:

1- 1- Referencing in the text of the introduction is somewhat old, while a lot of research has been done for the research target community (UMP) in different journals.

1- 2- In the sub-section of the introduction, the authors have addressed the problems (weaknesses and threats) of the target society to some extent, but they have not mentioned the conventional situation of using resources and the added value of Zimbabwe's process.

1- 3- Because the focal point of this study has been the region (UMP), the authors have made an interesting reference to the conventional situation of manpower and threats, but such a view should be made more attractive and visible.

2- In the research findings section, the authors have addressed the current situation to some extent, but such a process is not scientifically acceptable. While the findings of the research are the achievements of the authors, they are not observed in this section.

3- Unfortunately, the conclusions and suggestions are not coordinated. Conclusions actually reflect the current status of the manuscript in terms of the framework of the results (the main information of the paths taken by the researchers), while the proposals of the researchers, the ways and methods of the target society's perspective (UPM), are specified in terms of improvement. So, these two main components (conclusions and suggestions) are incomplete in this section.

Finally, these respected authors have pursued good economic, social, and political goals in (UMP), located in Zimbabwe, but it is not complete (it is similar to a technical report); it needs a general revision.

"Best regards,"

Seyyed Akbar Sadaty, PhD, the first strategic multidisciplinary researcher within the (SMM) process in agricultural water and soil in the world, Islamic Azad University - IRAN.

Sadaty1460@gmail.com

Copdsiran1993@gmail.com – 00989111520547