

Review of: "Bank Customer Churn Prediction Using SMOTE: A Comparative Analysis"

Faisal Khurshid¹

¹ Southwest Jiaotong University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

There are many typos in the text of the manuscript. The number of references is inadequate; only seven references are mentioned. There is no need for mentioning in detail about data balancing, GAs, classification algorithms, and performance evaluators; they just increase the length of the manuscript and have basic ideas that may lose the interest of readers. The novelty of the paper is not described in an impressive way. Overall, the manuscript is averagely written. Authors must revise the manuscript, remove the typos and spelling mistakes, reduce the length of the paper, and clearly mention their novelty in an impressive way.