

# Review of: "Reassessing Cervical Cancer Prevention: Evaluating the NHS Cervical Cancer Screening Programme Through the Health Belief Model and Global Health Promotion Strategies"

Didik Setiawan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Purwokerto, Indonesia

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

It is an interesting topic that may be useful for other countries. I have some comments on this article:

1. Methodology should be written as method
2. The references that have been used in the first sentence (and also the first paragraph) in the method section should be completely mentioned.
3. Add a table to summarize the results, particularly on the important factors that significantly influence the belief and practice, so the reader can easily grasp the main findings.