

Review of: "Targeting the Warburg Effect with Glucosodiene: A Case Report of a 43-year-old Female after Mastectomy of the right breast and axillary clearance with Successful First Case Treatment for Metastatic Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) of Bone"

Renna K.E. Costa¹

¹ Cornell University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Even though the idea presented was interesting, overall, the paper needs major revisions before anything.

Abstract: This should follow a logical order to make it less confusing and more appealing. Results must be presented by the end of it.

Background: Lack of references and deep idea development. The main goal of this paper is to present the results of a treatment based on the Warburg effect, which is not well explained. PKPD information on glucosodiene is also missing.

Patient information: Data should be presented more scientifically. Figures should be less confusing. Consider presenting the most important part of it in the main text and leaving the complete ones for supplementary information (there is none). Figures also must be more well explained.

Discussion: It lacks a deeper explanation of vital markers; many are even written wrong.

It needs a better explanation of why the cancer remission is due to this drug and not to the many treatments the patient has undergone before.

Are there any data on glucosodiene in rats? Cell lines? Anything that supports this treatment being used in a human subject? This must be presented in the paper.

It also needs major English revision.