

Review of: "Nutritional Status and Dietary Patterns of Children Aged Ten Years and Below In the Buea Municipality, South West Region Cameroon"

Dwi Hapsari¹

¹ National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. What is the reason for using the age criteria under 10 years as a sample? The nutritional problems of children aged 0-59 months are very different from those of children aged 60-119 months (it should not be 120 months)
2. The problem of dietary patterns between the ages of 0-59 months is very different from the age of 60-119 months. Does this study aim to compare the 2 groups or do you want to discuss one by one? It is not explained the purpose of the analysis carried out. If you are going to compare, you should use the minimum sample number of each age group
3. Use last birthday to create age categories
4. The selection of the study population is related to the sample criteria. In this article the population should be children aged 0-119 months. The respondent was the mother of the child. Information collected about the child and his biological mother
5. In Table 2 between the title and the body do not match. Is table 2 for ages 0-119 months or 0-59 months? Check information on age groups
6. What is the validity of birth weight information for the age group 60-119 months? What methods were used to obtain this data?
7. Figure 1 is converted into a stacked bar chart
8. Figure 2 was changed to diversity per age group, as age groups greatly influence the diversity consumed
9. The explanation of the results of the analysis should be: children who have mothers with education.... etc
10. There should be 4 conclusions: stunting and wasting age group 0-59 months, stunting and wasting 50-119 months