

Review of: "Coconut flower - market potential and future forecast in the Coconut Land (Bến Tre), Vietnam"

Dr. R. K. Joshi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I read the article "Coconut Flower - market potential and future forecast in the Coconut Land (Bến Tre), Vietnam" by Dai-Long Ngo-Hoang. The information about a coconut flower is useful. However, it seems that the author did all the work mentioned in the article, beginning with the measurement of land and estimation of blood sugar, which was mentioned in the review. This is a review article; if the author did all the work, it is commendable. If not, information taken from other sources should mention proper citation for the information in the texts. It should not take all other's credit as per publication ethics. It is my opinion as per the plagiarism policy of original or review articles. The journal policy may be different if it is so.

Each section of the review article is baseless if others' contribution is not cited in the exact in place of the text where it is mentioned.

In page 5/8 "Therefore, farmers need to ensure that their coconut trees are of optimal age for neera tapping", what is the optimal age?

In page 6/8 "Additionally, the sap collected should be stored properly to prevent fermentation, which can affect its quality and reduce its shelf life". How to avoid fermentation and increase the shelf life? It must be mentioned technique or other process.

In page 7/8 "Coconut nectar serves as an excellent raw material for the food and pharmaceutical industries". What are the chemical constituents of neera, if available, should be mentioned in the article.

Finally, this review article language should be scientific the figure should be mentioned in the text. What information about the figures or boxes?

Overall this article looks like a newspaper article more than a scientific article.