

# Review of: "Cults and the LGBTQ Community: A Systematic Review Using PRISMA"

Dibyangana Biswas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Woxsen University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all, there are no clear indications in the method section that any of the 27 PRISMA criteria were fulfilled in the review.

Grammatical errors are vividly visible throughout the whole manuscript, e.g., "There are many both mainstream and fringe groups that have strong, anti-LGBTQ viewpoints." This sentence is grammatically wrong and fails to convey any meaning to the readers.

Research reports are usually written in the past tense and passive voice, while authors' active voice and mixed use of past and present tense depicts a lack of academic etiquette.

There were no footnotes given for the acronyms used such as "LGBTQ."

"I carried out the search using the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist (Moher et al., 2009)" - The author needs to mention the 27 PRISMA criteria and how those were met.

"I searched the following databases: a) Web of Science, b) PsychInfo, c) EBSCO, d) MEDLINE, and e) National Association of Social Services Workers (NASW) abstract database." - On the basis of which criteria were these following databases selected? The author needs to mention the timeline as well, such as which calendar year was considered to be the beginning of the study, or whether it was the past 10 years or more?

There is no clear mention of which geographical locations' papers were considered for the study. Were only USA-based research studies considered?

Kindly check the paper for plagiarism.