

# Review of: "Footnotes to History: Márkus's Critique of Habermas's Debate with the Budapest School in the Philosophical Discourses of Modernity"

Peter Morgan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Sydney

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a fascinating distillation of main lines of argument of three major philosophers of the late 20<sup>th</sup> century: György Markus, Jürgen Habermas and Agnes Heller. The article is marked by clarity of thought and the ability to distill main lines of very complex social theory into comprehensible lines of thought, enabling a profound critique of Habermas and Heller in particular, and concluding with an equally profound appreciation and advocacy of the relatively lesser-known Markus. While I am not competent to enter into detailed engagement with the lines of this argument, I am familiar with the main lines of thought of the three, and can appreciate the level of engagement with the core concepts of modernity and post-modernity which are the subject of this comparative analysis. It is up to the editor of Qeios whether these comments are worth accepting as a review.