

# Review of: "Young Builders vs. University of Delhi: A case of maintenance of balance between environment and development in housing development for the megacity of Delhi"

Duminda Senevirathna<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Uva Wellassa University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is not a typical commentary, however interesting case study for national development, therefore can be considered for publication. I suggest major revisions before publishing. English corrections may be needed. Check the journal scope, suitability and relevance. Check with guideline and format. Better to follow a scientific order.

Title is lengthy and quite misleading. Better to be shorten.

The abstract should contain more results and conclusions from this study. Put the overall results of the analysis as a summary. Better to mention importance and recommendations for the future. What is EC..? Last sentence is unclear.

Introduction need to be elaborated. Need to add objectives, more background information, and importance of this study.

Figures are not clear and need to add general information of a map (direction, latitudes, longitudes, etc.). It is better to explain location details using a world map, if you are focusing open international journal. Follow a same format to cite Figures.

Figure 3 has not cited in the text. The importance of figure 1, figure 2, and figure are not clear. It can be combined in to a complete map. Figure 4 is not clear. Explain the abbreviations in the figure 5 in the figure legend. Figure 7 is not clear, explain the meaning of color in the legend. Figure 13 is not clear, specify abbreviations in the legend. Figures 14 and 15 are not cited in the text. Figure 16 is not clear.

Table 3, point 8 is not clear. Table 5 has not been cited in the text. Table 6 has not cited in the text.

Page 5: Is it timeline line of appealing or project..? Need to clarify

Page 9; carrying capacity and national standards..? can you explain..?

Page 11; add references to the information in 1978.

Page 12; what are six main issues.?

Page 19; Better to explain MBR technology in briefly.

Discussion need to be comprehensively addressed. If possible, similar kind of published case studies need to be linked and cited concerning other regions. Include the advantages of this study and future recommendations. Try to increase number of references.

Conclusion should be rewritten and shorted. First two paragraphs of the conclusion should move to introduction/discussion properly.